Models and Decision-Support for Post-Disaster Debris Management Melih Çelik, Özlem Ergun, Pınar Keskinocak, Álvaro Lorca, Kael Stilp



SCHOOL OF INDUSTRIAL & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

H. MILTON STEWART

BACKGROUND



- Post-disaster debris can be equivalent to years of normal solid waste
- Costly, long and complicated process
- **Short term**: Blocks access to critical facilities and resources
- Long term: Threat to human health and the environment
- How to efficiently and effectively clear, collect and dispose debris?



Phases of Debris Operations

- Clearance: Unblock roads
 - Prioritize roads to be cleared
 - Connect relief supply to demand points
- Collection: Transport debris to collection sites
 - Assign roads to collection teams
 - Minimize collection time and balance workload
- Disposal/recycling: Sort debris and decide on final processes
 - Debris processing site location
 - Process selection: wood grinding, concrete crushing, incineration, compaction, etc.
 - Landfilling / recycling tradeoff

- **Inputs**: road network condition, clearance capacity per period, debris amounts, relief supply/demand locations and quantities
- **Output:** Clearance sequence for a set of roads **Complete debris information**: All debris amounts assumed to be known
- Incomplete debris information: Reachable arcs known, beliefs about unreachable arcs updated as clearance proceeds
- Regional updates as arcs in the same region become reachable









Center for Health and Humanitarian Systems H. Milton Stewart School of Industrial and Systems Engineering 2015 Health and Humanitarian Logistics Conference

MODELS FOR CLEARANCE

Supply nodes

Node set:

- Demand nodes
- Intermediate nodes

Status of blocked arcs:

- Reachable arcs amounts known
- - Unreachable arcs - beliefs on amounts

Computational Experiments

Example: Earthquake near Cambridge, MA • A 6.5 magnitude earthquake Debris estimates using Hazus (FEMA's methodology for estimating potential losses from disasters, www.fema.gov/hazus)

Road clearance sequence Regional updates make a difference, especially when beliefs are inaccurate

- Inputs: Debris amounts, facility locations and capacities, contractor data
- **Output:** A fair and continuous assignment of the roads to collection teams and expected collection time
- **Objective:** Minimize cost and completion time Solve a MIP-based sequential heuristic

Computational Experiments

- Scenario analyses run based on disaster scenarios in cities like Miami, Port-au-Prince and various Caribbean countries
- Example: Earthquake in Trinidad and Tobago • A potential 7.8 magnitude earthquake off the
- west coast
 - 8 collection teams: 2 large, 5 medium, 1 small-sized



- Resulting team assignments
- Expected completion time: 75 days



MODELS FOR COLLECTION

For more information, contact: Pınar Keskinocak pinar@isye.gatech.edu



Current prototype developed using MS Excel, GLPK optimization solver and Google Maps API



- Example: Earthquake in Trinidad and Tobago
- Systematic debris removal analysis
- 20% debris recycled
- Cost savings of 1.6 million if half a year of extra removal time is allowed

REFERENCES

- Debris Operations Tool: Optimizing disaster debris management operations. Available at debrismanagement.gatech.edu
- Çelik, M., Ö. Ergun, P. Keskinocak. 2015. The Post-**Disaster Debris Clearance Problem Under** Incomplete Information. Operations Research, 63(1), 65–85.
- A. Lorca, M. Çelik, Ö. Ergun and P. Keskinocak. 2015. An Optimization-Based Decision Support Tool for Post-Disaster Debris Operations. Submitted to Production and Operations Management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grants CMMI-1000085 and CMMI-1538860, Andrea Laliberte, and Richard E. and Charlene Zalesky.

